

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 **JERRY CHAVEZ, JR.,**

12 Petitioner,

13 **v.**

14 **KIM HOLLAND, WARDEN,**

15 Respondent.
16
17

No. C 13-04690 YGR (PR)

ORDER

18 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby ordered that Respondent may have until **March**
19 **26, 2014**, to file his answer the application for writ of habeas corpus. If Petitioner wishes to
20 respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on
21 Respondent's counsel within **sixty (60) days** of receipt of the answer.

22 Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set
23 forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If
24 Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an
25 opposition or statement of non-opposition within **sixty (60) days** of receipt of the motion, and
26 Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fourteen (14) days** of
27 receipt of any opposition.
28

1 Also before the Court is Petitioner's letter filed on February 13, 2014, in which he requests
2 that the Court "grant relief for [his] petition accordingly." (Docket No. 13.) The Court construes
3 Petitioner's letter as a request for expedited treatment of the present petition, and DENIES his
4 request. As stated above, the Court has granted Respondent's request for an enlargement of time
5 to file his answer or motion to dismiss in lieu of an answer. Once the parties have filed the
6 necessary briefs, then the merits of his petition will be decided as soon as possible, given the
7 demands of the Court's caseload and earlier-filed habeas petitions.

8
9 Dated: February 28, 2014


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE